



Committee and Date

Southern Planning Committee

26 July 2022

Development Management Report


Responsible Officer: Tim Rogers

Email: tim.rogers@shropshire.gov.uk Tel: (01743) 258773 Fax: (01743) 252619

Summary of Application

Application Number: 22/01699/ADV	Parish:	Claverley
Proposal: Erect and display four sponsorship signs placed on the roundabout		
Site Address: Roundabout Junction A454 and B4176, Hilton, Claverley, Shropshire		
Applicant: CP Media on behalf of Shropshire Council		
Case Officer: Richard Denison	Email: planning.northern@shropshire.gov.uk	

Grid Ref: 376541 - 295669



Crown Copyright. All rights reserved.
Shropshire Council 100049049

Recommendation: Granted Permission subject to the conditions set out in Appendix 1.

REPORT

1.0 THE PROPOSAL

1.1 This is an advertisement application for the erection of four identical free standing sponsorship signs on behalf of Shropshire Council. The proposed signs will measure 1.2 metres wide by 0.55 metres tall and constructed from steel and aluminium with a powder coated finish with vinyl graphics applied. The sign will be attached onto two dark blue posts 450mm above ground level. The signs will be positioned on the roundabout facing traffic approaching from each direction. All sponsor plaques will be simple in design and the designs will be approved in writing by Shropshire Council. The minimum length of sponsorship is 12 months and the branding on the signs will remain constant during this period.

2.0 SITE LOCATION/DESCRIPTION

2.1 The existing roundabout is located at the junction of the A544 and B4176 at Rudge Heath approximately halfway between Bridgnorth and Wolverhampton. The roundabout is relatively flat and grassed with four shrubs located around the edge, together with black and white chevron signs and blue directional highway signs. Historically the roundabout had sponsorship signs from approximately 10 years from 2009 but have subsequently been removed. The Royal Oak public house is located directly adjacent to the roundabout. The existing roundabout falls within The West Midlands Green Belt.

3.0 REASON FOR COMMITTEE DETERMINATION OF APPLICATION

3.1 This application is in relation to land owned by Shropshire Council which is not in line with a statutory function and therefore this application should be determined by committee.

4.0 COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIONS

4.1 Consultee Comments

4.1.1 **Shropshire Council, Highways** - No objection is raised on highway safety grounds subject to a site inspection by highways officers prior to the installation and removal of any existing unauthorised signs.

4.1.2 **Worfield and Rudge Parish Council** considered this application on 5/5/22. Members have no objections to this application subject to a full highways assessment being made, all visibility splays must be adhered to.

4.1.3 **Claverley Parish Council** does not have any objections to this planning application provided the sponsorship signs do not restrict visibility.

4.2 Public Comments

4.2.1 No public representations have been received.

5.0 THE MAIN ISSUES

- Background & Policy
- Impact on Public Safety
- Impact on Visual Amenity

6.0 OFFICER APPRAISAL

6.1 Background & Policy

- 6.1.1 Local authority roundabout sponsorship or advertising schemes are now very common throughout the UK and Shropshire Council would like to offer local businesses the opportunity to advertise. Roundabout sponsorship is typically used by small to medium sized local business to raise their profile. It serves as a cost-effective way for them to promote themselves in high visibility locations for considerably less money than would otherwise be possible - helping boost the local economy. The income generated from advertising on Highway's assets will be reinvested in the Highways network.
- 6.1.2 The National Planning Policy Framework provides guidance on the display of advertisements, in particular paragraph 136 which states "*The quality and character of places can suffer when advertisements are poorly sited and designed. A separate consent process within the planning system controls the display of advertisements, which should be operated in a way which is simple, efficient and effective. Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety, taking account of cumulative impacts*". This is reflected in policy CS6 of Shropshire's Core Strategy and policy MD2 of the Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan.

6.1.3 This application has not been subject to any formal pre-application enquiry.

6.2 Impact on Public Safety

- 6.2.1 The proposed signs will be set back from the edge of the roundabout and clear views are available of traffic on or entering the roundabout. The Council Highways Manager is satisfied that the proposed signs will not be a significant distraction to drivers and that there would be no highway safety implications which could otherwise affect road users

6.3 Impact on Visual Amenity

- 6.3.1 The existing roundabout is located within the edge of the West Midlands Green Belt where policy CS5 of the Core Strategy indicates that there is a general presumption against inappropriate development, particularly development which impacts on the openness of the Green Belt. Policy MD6 of the SAMDev Plan indicates that the purpose of the Green Belt is to prevent urban sprawl and to provide certainty that the land that lies between major urban areas will remain undeveloped over the long term. This policy supports sustainable development and at a local level supports the rural economy.

- 6.3.2 The proposed signs are located on a roundabout which is on the significant rural junction adjacent to a built-up environment and will be visible to drivers as they approach the roundabout. The signs are modest in size 1.2 metres wide by 0.55 metres tall (total sign area of 0.6 sqm) and will be low to the ground. There are existing street structures including road names, directional signs, chevron barriers, lampposts, etc in and around the proximity of the roundabout. Due to the modest size and low profile of the signs they will not result in a significant visual impact on the street scene or character of the local area.
- 6.3.3 The proposed signs are modest in size and low profile and will be significantly smaller than the existing black and white chevron signs which are over three times larger. The signs will not result in a significant visual impact on the character of the local area and will not impact upon the openness of the Green Belt and will not cause urban sprawl.

7.0 CONCLUSION

- 7.1 It is considered that the proposed signs will have no adverse impact on public safety and would have no significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the site or the visual amenity of the locality. It is recommended that standard advertising conditions are attached to any approval notice issued. The proposed development meets the criteria of national guidance on advertisements and local plan policies CS5, CS6, MD2 and MD6.
- 7.2 In arriving at this decision, the Council has used its best endeavours to work with the applicants in a positive and proactive manner to secure an appropriate outcome as required in the National Planning Policy Framework.

8.0 RISK ASSESSMENT AND OPPORTUNITIES APPRAISAL

8.1 Risk Management

There are two principal risks associated with this recommendation as follows:

- As with any planning decision the applicant has a right of appeal if they disagree with the decision and/or the imposition of conditions. Costs can be awarded irrespective of the mechanism for hearing the appeal - written representations, a hearing or inquiry.
- The decision is challenged by way of a Judicial Review by a third party. The courts become involved when there is a misinterpretation or misapplication of policy or some breach of the rules of procedure or the principles of natural justice. However, their role is to review the way the authorities reach decisions, rather than to make a decision on the planning issues themselves, although they will interfere where the decision is so unreasonable as to be irrational or perverse. Therefore, they are concerned with the legality of the decision, not its planning merits. A challenge by way of Judicial Review must be a) promptly and b) in any event not later than 6 weeks after the grounds to make the claim first arose.

Both of these risks need to be balanced against the risk of not proceeding to determine the application. In this scenario there is also a right of appeal against non-determination for application for which costs can also be awarded.

8.2 Human Rights

Article 8 give the right to respect for private and family life and First Protocol Article 1 allows for the peaceful enjoyment of possessions. These have to be balanced against the rights and freedoms of others and the orderly development of the County in the interests of the Community.

First Protocol Article 1 requires that the desires of landowners must be balanced against the impact on residents.

This legislation has been taken into account in arriving at the above recommendation.

8.3 Equalities

The concern of planning law is to regulate the use of land in the interests of the public at large, rather than those of any particular group. Equality will be one of a number of 'relevant considerations' that need to be weighed in planning committee members' minds under section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1970.

9.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are likely financial implications of the decision and/or imposition of conditions if challenged by a planning appeal or judicial review. The costs of defending any decision will be met by the authority and will vary dependant on the scale and nature of the proposal. Local financial considerations are capable of being taken into account when determining this planning application – in so far as they are material to the application. The weight given to this issue is a matter for the decision maker.

10.0 BACKGROUND

10.1 Relevant Planning Policies

Policies material to the determination of the Application. In determining this application, the Local Planning Authority gave consideration to the following policies:

National Planning Policy Framework (July 2021):

Shropshire Council Core Strategy (February 2011):

CS5 : Countryside and Green Belt

CS6 : Sustainable Design and Development Principles

Site Allocations and Management Development Plan (December 2016):

MD2 : Sustainable Design

MD6 : Green Belt

10.2 Relevant Planning History

There is no relevant planning history.

11.0 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

View Details on Line :

[22/01699/ADV | Erect and display four sponsorship signs placed on the roundabout | Roundabout Junction A454 And B4176 Rudge Heath Claverley Shropshire](#)

List of Background Papers - Planning Application 22/01699/ADV

Cabinet Member (Portfolio Holder) - Cllr Edward Potter

Local Member - Cllr Elliot Lynch

Appendices
APPENDIX 1 - Conditions

APPENDIX 1

Conditions

STANDARD CONDITION(S)

1. Any advertisement displayed, and any site used for the display of advertisements, shall be maintained in a condition that does not impair the visual amenity of the site.
Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007
2. Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of displaying advertisements shall be maintained in a condition that does not endanger the public.
Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.
3. Where an advertisement is required under these Regulations to be removed, the site shall be left in a condition that does not endanger the public or impair visual amenity
Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.
4. No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.
Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.
5. No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to—
(a) endanger persons using any highway, railway, waterway, dock, harbour or aerodrome (civil or military);

(b) obscure, or hinder the ready interpretation of, any traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air; or

(c) hinder the operation of any device used for the purpose of security or surveillance or for measuring the speed of any vehicle

Reason: To comply with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

6. The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the approved plans and drawings

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and details.

CONDITION(S) THAT REQUIRE APPROVAL BEFORE THE DEVELOPMENT COMMENCES

7. Prior to the installation of the sponsorship signs a site inspection shall be undertaken with the Highways Authority to agree the layout of the signs in context with existing highway street furniture and landscaping. The agreed layout shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval and the sponsorship signs installed in accordance with the agreement. Any existing signs on the roundabout shall be permanently removed.

Reason: In the interest of highway safety and visual amenity.